Fictional sample / Audit reporting

Illustrative Website Accessibility Findings

Example Public Portal — fictional website and findings

> Fictional demonstration report. No website was assessed for these findings, and this is not a statement of conformance or a completed paid audit.

What a scoped report can explain

An actual audit documents observed barriers, where they occur, their user impact, applicable success criteria, recommended changes, and retesting evidence. The examples below are invented to demonstrate format and clarity.

FINDING 01 / HIGH IMPACT — ILLUSTRATIVE

Keyboard focus is not visible on navigation links

Example
Fictional navigation: a keyboard user tabs to a link without any visible indicator.

Why it matters
Users navigating without a mouse cannot confidently identify their location.

Reference
WCAG 2.1 success criterion 2.4.7 — Focus Visible.

Recommendation
Add a high-contrast `:focus-visible` outline that is not removed by other styles.

Retest
Tab through the links at desktop and mobile widths and confirm the focused element is visible.

FINDING 02 / HIGH IMPACT — ILLUSTRATIVE

A form field has no accessible name

Example
Fictional service request form: an email input uses only a placeholder.

Why it matters
Screen-reader users may not hear the intended purpose once the placeholder disappears.

Reference
WCAG 2.1 success criterion 3.3.2 — Labels or Instructions.

Recommendation
Associate a persistent visible `<label>` with the input using `for` and `id`.

Retest
Check accessible-name exposure with a screen reader and submit an incomplete form to evaluate feedback.

FINDING 03 / MODERATE IMPACT — ILLUSTRATIVE

A visual list lacks list semantics

Example
Fictional meeting page: agenda items are plain paragraphs preceded by decorative numbers.

Why it matters
Assistive technology cannot announce the list structure or number of items.

Reference
WCAG 2.1 success criterion 1.3.1 — Info and Relationships.

Recommendation
Use semantic `<ol>` and `<li>` elements in logical source order.

Retest
Verify announcements and order using keyboard and a screen reader.

Handoff expectations

A real engagement should distinguish an automated scan from an agreed manual audit scope, list what was not tested, and retain observations sufficient for a developer to reproduce each issue.

Every finding here is invented. Severity categories are illustrative, not results from automated scanning or live user testing.